

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,453	0/685,453 10/16/2003		David B. Chung	2207/16635	5418
23838	7590	06/02/2005		EXAM	INER
KENYON 1 BROADV		ON	NGUYEN, HOAN C		
NEW YOR		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			2871	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,453	CHUNG, DAVID B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 4 M	ONITU(S) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	·	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	received.				
		· · · · ·				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to an LCD comprising a liquid crystal display, classified in class 349, subclass 88.
- II. Claims 18-20, drawn to a method for manufacturing display device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a method for manufacturing display device (invention II) can make different device display, in which the device display does not contain liquid crystal molecules.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group I contains embodiments directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claims invention:

A: Claims 1-6 drawn to a display comprising a chamber to store a volume of liquid crystal material, the chamber having a viewable region and a non-viewable

Application/Control Number: 10/685,453

Art Unit: 2871

region, and at least one polymer memory cell provided in a non-viewable region of the chamber.

B: Claims 7-14 drawn to a display comprising a chamber to store liquid crystal material, a plurality of display transistors arranged in an array across a planar surface of the chamber, the display transistors to define pixels of the display, a memory system having polymer memory cells provided within the liquid crystal chambers.

- C. Claims 15-16 drawn to a computer system comprising an LCD display with the polymer memory cells.
- D. Claims 15 and 17 drawn to a computer system comprising an LCD display with polymer memory system including a plurality of layers, each layer includes polymer memory cells distributed among LCD materials of the display.

If Group I is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn

December 13, 2004

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY

PRIMARY EXAMINER